

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			5236
09/382,442	08/25/1999	ALAN R. REINBERG	303.522US1	323
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAM	INER
			BOOTH, RICHARD A	
MINNEAPOL	15, MIN 33402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·	09/382,442	REINBERG, ALAN R.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Richard A. Booth	2812		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APT Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	PPLICATION IN CONDITI avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendmeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in 3) a timely filed Request for Continued		
	REPLY [check either a) o	i b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mable by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WITH 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the continuely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	The date on which the petition upon of the shortened statutory period of extension and the corresponding later than three months a 37 CFR 1.704(b).	THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP nder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee in the final Office action; of the the mailing date of the final rejection, even if		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))	SPR 1.191(d)), to avoid a	smissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	u pecause.	r search (see NOTE helow).		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rtner consideration and/o	1 36alon (300 110 1 = 5010 11/1		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);	al by materially reducing or simplifying the		
(c) they raise the issue of new matter (see the control of the con				
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding nu	Imber of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because	. See Continuation onos.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	DWS:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Oleim/a) withdrawn from consideration:	•	The Property of the Eventines		
8 The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat	ement(s)(PTO-1449)Pa	per No(s)		
10. Other:		Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner		
		Art Unit: 2812		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: both erasing and programming rely upon the lack of hot electron degradation as spelled out in applicant's specification. Lisenker clearly states the use of deuterium prevents or delays this phenomenon from occurring.